1892-93
(L.R.)

File No. L.R. 79/92.
Serial No. 18 to 24.

(P-130)

Sub:- Protest against the grant of Certain lands to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin in the Kamirkan Agrahar of the Anekal Taluk.

G. R. S. 58
Previous references.
L. R. 427 of 1892-93
Sls 1-17

1908.
(Land Rev)

LR 79/92
File No. ~~[illegible]~~

Serial Nos. 18 to 2

Later references.
LR 431-08
LR 431-09 (Sls 1-6)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 18 to 24

Part B. for February 1909

SUBJECT.

Protest against the grant of certain lands to the late Mr Syed Nasiruddin in the Kannaikan Agrahar, Anekal Tk

---

Sl. 18. From the Revenue Commissioner No: 15 d/ 3rd
States that the records connected with the grant to Mr Syed Nasiruddin in the Kannaikan Agrahar of the Anekal Tk.

Sl. 19. To -do- No: R 680 d/ 16th Feby 1906. Calls for additional information & extracts from Pattern register

Sl. 20. From -do- : 13744 d/ 15-5-07. Furnishes the information & the extracts called for in the above & rec[illegible]nds that the lands in question [illegible] [illegible]lly assessed & granted to the [illegible]t Pattadar

GPB..8,000—7-4-07

To the Rev. Commr. No: R. 1063 of 14th June 1907

Sends a list of the names of the persons who originally objected to the grant made to Mr Syed Nasiruddin as ascertained from the petitioners in this office & requests him to report what these persons have now to say.

22. From — do — No: 3761 of 20th September 1907
Forwards Bangalore Dy Commrs letter No: 158 of the 11th Septr 1907 furnishing ~~the~~ information called for in R21.

R.23 Written Statement ~~of~~ of 10th Decr 1908 filed by Mr Ramanna, Advocate on behalf of Khatun Bibi or Mrs Syed Nasiruddin.

R24. Ex: No: R5869-70 of 7-2-09
To Rev Commr & Supdt of Survey } Directs that the assets revised if they be should be confirmed to the representatives of Mr Nasiruddin should they agree to pay the revised assessment

G. R. S. 11]

1892-93
~~190~~

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Land Rev:)

NOTES.

File. No ~~127~~ 29

FILE HEADING.

Protest against the grant of certain lands to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin in the Kamaikkan Agrahar of the Anekal Taluk.

From

The Rev: Commr. in Mysore.

No. 15 Dated 30 · 6 · 06 Received 4 · 7 · 06.

Diary No. 180	Enclos. 1.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 18.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the records connected with the grant to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin of certain lands belonging to Nanja and others of Kamaikkan Agrahar in Anekal Taluk, have not been traced.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

⊗ Sl. No. 1.
Fno 2.

In July 1892 (about 14 years ago) Nanja and certain others presented a ⊗ Petition to the then Chief Secretary, protesting against the acquisition at the Survey Settlement by Mr. Syed

NOTES—First sheet.

G.R.S.-12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L R File No. ~~427~~ 79 of 1892-93.

Serial No.

Nasiruddin, of certain lands in the Anekal Taluk, which before Survey Settlement were in the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioners on Hulgaval Kandayam.

⊗ Pet. 101 of 20-7-1892 Reg T

2 The Petition was sent⊗ to the Dy. Commr. of the Bangalore Dt. for enquiry and issue of endorsement to the Petrs. He was also asked to send a copy of the Dy. Commr's decision for the further consideration and orders of Govt: if necessary. After a delay of nearly 11 years, the

⊗ No. 1277 of 16-5-1903. X

16. Dy. Commr. reported⊗ that the records connected with the case were missing and that every endeavour was being made to trace them out.

⊗ G.O. No. Pet. 1731-32 of 24-6-1903. Reg S

7 2. Thereupon, an order⊗ was issued to the Dy. Commr. stating that if the dispute had otherwise been settled during the long period which had ~~[illegible]~~ intervened, further proceedings might be stopped, and that if not otherwise the matter should be enquired into and a report submitted. He was also asked to report how he had dealt with the person responsible for the loss of the records—.

Flag U.

18. 3. The Dy. Commr. now reports that S. Nos. 16/17 measuring 786 acres & 2 guntas and bearing

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L R. File No. 79 ~~427~~ of 1892-93

Serial
No.

an assessment of Rs. 132, were granted to the late Mr Syed Nasiruddin and a Patta was made in his name, that after his death X in the year 1901-2 the lands were transferred to his Son's name, that the Amildar reports that there have been no difficulty or complaints in the matter subsequent to the date of the petition to Govt, and that under the circumstances there is no use in raking up the question afresh. The records have not been traced, and the officials, who might have dealt with them, are said to have either left the office or died.

X This is incorrect. He seems to have died about the middle of the year 1894 - (vide Sl. 107)

4. The Dy Commr's report, after a delay of 14 years, is meagre and unsatisfactory. If prompt action had been taken by the Dy Commr on this office memorandum of the 20th July 1892, the circumstances under which Mr Nasiruddin managed to acquire such a large extent of land as 786 acres, would have come to light and the records would not have been lost. Mr Syed Nasiruddin's action is not free from suspicion - The loss of records & the delay which has been allowed to occur, all point to it. Mr Nasiruddin

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L.R. File No. ~~4~~ 29 of 1892-93.

Serial No.

vide p. 8 of these notes

has evidently avoided to obtain the Sanction of Govt to the acquisition of the land.

5. From 1892 to 1894, Mr Shujayet Ali Khan was the Dy Commr of the Bangalore District and Mr Honnappa Naidu was the Amildar of the Anekal Taluk. In July 1892, Mr Nasiruddin was the Acting Dy Commr of the Hassan District. Up to August+ 1897, the Petitioners were sending reminders to Govt; for early orders on their protest.

+ Vide Sl no 15. Flag. N.

6. Is it advisable to drop the matter merely because there have been no Complaints Since Aug: 1897? ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ If not, the question for decision is whether the acquisition of the land by Mr Nasiruddin was above board. [vide G.O. 6289-323-R.2424 d/ 12.1.96]⊗

⊗ Printed at page 2 of the Rev. manual-

SS.
12/7/06

Please call for further information as (1) to what the original objectors to the grant have now to say to it. (2) to report by a reference to the register, if possible, the various steps that were taken from the time the complaint was first made as to the

12/7/06

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB--50,000--23 10-05

any in the character of the grant (3) Is any portion of the land cultivated & if so since when and with what crops and why should not the land be subjected to full assessment in that case (4) Are there any other instances of a similar extent of land being held at the exceptionally low rate of assessment (5) in whose possession was the land before it was acquired by Mr Nasiruddin - If no records are available this information may be obtained by a reference to the entries in the Khewat Patika. The Rev Commr should after obtaining the above information forward the same to Govt with his opinion as to what course he suggests should be adopted in the matter.

13/7/06

Draft Subd
18/7/06

As the papers show ~~that~~ 13/7/06 the lands were acquired at the Survey Settlement the Dy Commr is asked to submit the Pharisal Patika & the Bela bhumi Patika of the Survey records to see if they throw light on the matter.

13/7/06

13/7

Passed
13/7/06

79

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L. R. File No. ~~627~~ of ~~190~~ 1892-93

Serial No.

19 To – The Revenue Commissioner
No R. 680, dated the 16th July 1906.
Calls for additional information and extracts from certain registers.

20 From – The Revenue Commissioner
No 13744 d 15/5/07
Furnishes the information and the extracts called for in the above & recommends that the lands in question may be fully assessed and granted to the present Pattadar.

The information required has been given in brief in para 2 of the Revenue Commissioner's letter under disposal. More detailed information is contained in para 2 of the Deputy Commissioner's ³letter to the Rev. Commr. +It is reported that as the Settlement records are missing, it is not possible to ascertain what map numbers have been included in Survey No 16 & 17 and who held them, and consequently who the original objectors were. Some of the lands in question are stated to have been included in Survey No 38 (part of which was in the possession of Nanja) and treated as "Kharab", before they were acquired by Mr. Nasiruddin.

Flag V. ³No R.C. 782 d 30/4/07

Flag Q +letter No 895 d 11/4/07 from Sub Divisional Officer. and

Flag Z. Amildar's report No 526 d 6.4.07

+ Blue Flag D 2

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Secretariat. L. R. File No. ~~109~~ of ~~190~~ 189

Serial No.

After the receipt of the first complaint of the residents of Kasmaik agrahar, the Amildar, it would appear was called upon to report on the petition & after the receipt of this report to the effect that the lands in question which were Govt. waste-lands had been granted at the Survey Settlement to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin at 3 annas per acre under the orders of the Survey Deptt. in 1892, the matter was dropped.

Blue Flag D There ~~appears~~ have been it is reported one or two instances in which lands have been granted at reduced rates: Survey Nos 6 & 31 of Bilvarhalli have been granted to Mr. Nasiruddin at 3 annas per acre and in the village of Bukka Sagar of the same hobli, some lands have been given to the Patil of Koppa at 8 as per acre.

The Phaisal Patrika & Beelubhumi patrika, called for, are stated to be not available, as they were among the missing Settlement papers.

The names of the original complainants are given in the petitions received

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

Serial No.

in this office. If considered necessary, then
names may be given to the Amildar and
report called for as to what they say in

page 3. In para 4 of this note a doubt ha
been raised as to whether Mr. Nas
uddin obtained the sanction of Go
for the acquisition of these lands
No such application appears to
S. Nos 16 & 17 been received in regard to these tw
S. numbers; but certain deserte
villages of which Kalinipalya,
hamlet attached to Kannayaka
Agrahar, is one, were purchased by
Mr. Nasiruddin at a Revenue Sa
on 25-8-1888
& permission of Government wa
afterwards sought for. This wa
granted in G.O. No. Camp. 2107 of 20-3-1
Flag L. Bilvarahalli file R. M. 166 of 05 It is doubtful whether Survey Nos 1
formed part of the lands thus acqu
The whole of Kalinipalya appears to have been granted and the num
of the survey have been given..
Mr. Nasiruddin has stated in one
his letters to the Survey Supdt. tha
Flag O. No. 1139 of 18/1/92 (copy) Survey No. 17 formed part of the lands
purchased by him at the Revenue Sa
already referred to; but in one of the
Flag A lists furnished by the Taluk authorities
Also in Amildar's report No. 5179 of 28/2/92 of lands held by Mr. Nasiruddin, it is
Flag W of Biloorhally file expressly stated that No 17 was not

Also see Amildar's report No 120 of 19/8/93 blue Flag B.

79

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C. R. File No. ~~47~~ of ~~190~~ 1892-93

Serial No.

If the lands are to be confirmed to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin's heirs and the grant on kulgaval tenure recognised, it seems to be necessary to fully assess the lands as suggested by the Rev Commr. Meanwhile please ask the Rev Commr to ascertain from the objectors, whose names may be found out from the petitions here, whether they still object to the grant.

11/6/07

Fdl 11/6

Draft submitted

12/6/07

Issd 12/6 21. To The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore No R 10663 dated the 16th June 1907. Sends a list of the names of the persons who originally objected to the grant made to Mr Syed Nasiruddin, as ascertained from the petitions in this office and requesting him to report what these persons have now to say.

Reg V 22 From the Revenue Commr in Mysore. No 3761 of the 20th September 1907. Forwards Bangalore Dy Commr's letter No 158 of the 14th September 1907 submitting a copy of report of the Assistant

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L. R. File No. ~~427~~ 79 of 1892-93.

Serial No.

Commr. Bangalore Sub on furnishing the information called for in Serial No. 21. The Amildar's report and the connected papers have not been submitted. From the Assistant Commr's report, it appears that only 6 out of the 22 persons who objected to the grant are now living & that out of the 6 only 3 have given their statements, 2 are residing elsewhere, and nothing is said about the other.

This is incorrect — according to Amildar's report No 120 of 19/8/92 Blue flag D

Maji No 26 was formed into S. No 38. ?

16 nos

One, of the three, viz Narasingappa, states that Maji nos. (14, 17, 22, 26), 5, 7, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 36, 47, 50, 51 and 53 with a Bijavari of $\overset{k}{11}-\overset{k}{18}-\overset{p}{6}$, were formed into Survey no. 16 that of these, Nos 14, 17, 22, and 26 measuring $\overset{k}{8}-\overset{k}{9}-\overset{p}{3}\frac{1}{4}$ and assessed at Rs. 6-10-0 were in the Khate of Nanja, that Maji Nos. 76, 89, 69, 81, 85, 87, 88 and 92 measuring $\overset{k}{11}-\overset{k}{6}-\overset{p}{14}\frac{1}{4}$ were formed into S. No. 17 & that of these nos. 76 and 89 measuring $\overset{k}{9}-\overset{k}{9}-\overset{p}{3}\frac{1}{4}$ and assessed at Rs. 10-14-7 were in the Khate of Nanja.

S No 16

8 nos

Narasingappa adds that he objected to the grant of S. nos 16 and 17 to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin on the ground that they were being used as grazing lands for the village cattle. The Second

L. R. File No. ~~421~~ 79 of 18~~92~~ 92-93.

12

Serial No.

man named Chika Thimma states that owing to the grant of the gomal lands to Mr Syed Nasiruddin, he was obliged to leave the village, and that if S. no. 16 at least is set apart as gomal, he will return to, and reside in, the village. The third man named Toti Muniga states that consequent upon the grant of the lands to Mr Syed Nasiruddin, the ryots of the village went away to other places, that the lands are therefore lying waste, that he lost the miras which he was getting before, and that if S. no. 16 be set apart as gomal, the ryots would resettle in the village..

The Assistant Commr states that the statement of Narasingappa, which is based on the Pahani account of the year 1887-88, and which contradicts the previous report of the Dy Commr that no lands belonging to Nanga had been included in S. nos. 16 & 17, cannot be verified in the absence of the Survey Settlement Accounts of the year 1891-92 which are missing —

The file may be sent to the Supdt. of Rev: Survey unofficially for his

Serial No.

remarks as to the circumstances under which the lands in question were granted to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin at a reduced rate of 3 annas per acre, and why they should not be subjected to full assessment at the rates prevailing in the neighbourhood.

Vide Amildar's Report no. 546 d 6.4.1907 Flag Z.

88. A. 4/10.
3/10/07

W.O. no R67 d 10-10-07

Forwarded unofficially to the Supdt of Survey for favour of opinion

B.C.

for Secretary

The necessary reference [illegible] [illegible] 5.10.07 [illegible] Magistrate [illegible] [illegible] done.

10/10/07

U.O. No. 12. 12.10.07

This case is cognate with that of the grant of Survey no. 6 of Bilwarhalli to the late Mr. Syed Nasirudin. Both the cases formed the subject of the same correspondence, which unfortunately has been lost in the Deputy Commissioner's Office. In the absence of the papers

[G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L. R. File No. ~~427~~ 79 of ~~190~~ 1892-93

Serial No.

x A G Gts
Sur. No 16 — 490-9 — 92-,,-,,
,, 17 — 211-5 — 40-,,-,,

it is not possible to say under what circumstances Survey Nos 16 and 17 of Kannaikan Agrahar were granted to Mr Syed Nasir Udin. From the entries in the "From" and "To" Registers and the remarks in the Phaisal Patrika, it can, however, be ascertained that the order of the Survey Superintendent in the matter was based on the recommendation made by the Amildar of Anekal in his report No. 517 dated the 28th February 1892, which was one of the papers in the file of correspondence now lost.

Flags H + W. in Bilwarhalli file

It is not also now possible to ascertain the special circumstances, if any, under which the lands were assessed at the rate of annas 3 per acre. Being a uniform rate of assessment on a large extent of Parampoke land, in which no deduction was made on account of Phut Kharab, and the land being mostly uncultivable, the assessment might have been fixed rather low.

Such grants of land are not rare

L. R. File No. ~~429~~ 79 of ~~190~~ 1892–93

Serial No.

can be proved that fraud or misrepresentation vitiated the contract. The said Section of the L. Revenue Code is not applicable to the case, nor is it possible to prove fraud or misrepresentation, as the records have been lost. Under the circumstances I would let the matter remain as it stands during the remaining period of the present Settlement, more than half of which is already over.

T. Shama Rao
Supdt. Rev. Survey

Bangalore
12-10-1907

Submitted for orders.

In the circumstances of the case, may the Correspondence be simply recorded.?

20/10/07

What has become of the Bilwarhalli case referred to in the above note? The two cases being identical may be dealt together.

20/10/07

RD 22/10/07.

Information & papers called for from the Deputy Commissioner in connection with the Bilwarhalli case have not yet

112 17

L. R. 29 File No. 4/7 of ~~190~~ 1892-93

Serial No.

been received. In reply to our reminder (No. 3116 of 16.9.07) the Deputy Commissioner promised to submit the information as soon as the report called for from the Sub. Divisional Assistant Commissioner had been received. The promised report was till now awaited. Another reminder is being issued.

Ha: B.

N. G.
25/10/07.

16/10/07 The 2 may be subd together

&
27/10/07

Resubmitted with the Bilwarhalli file.

N. G.
18.2.08.

Recd
8843
30/4/08

The case was posted for hearing before the Dewan after several adjts finally on 4.12.08. Mr. Ramanna Advocate for Mrs. Kasindoori stated that he would send a written statement of his arguments which was permitted.

7. 23 The written statement was given on the 16th Dec 1908. Submitted with a draft order as directed to the Dewan

&
9/1/09

18

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

L. R. File No. 4/71 79 of ~~190~~ 1892-93

Serial No.

To

24 The Superintendent, Revenue Survey
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore
No. R 5869-70, dated the 9th Feby. '09.

States that though Government would be justified in cancelling the grant itself, owing to certain grave defects observed therein, they do not, however, deem it necessary to adopt that extreme course in view of the length of time that has elapsed since the grant was made; and directs that as the lands cannot any longer be allowed to be held at the present low rate of assessment, the Survey Superintendent should take steps to have them assessed on the same principles as in the case of lands of a similar class in the neighbourhood; and that they should be confirmed to their present holders only on condition of their agreeing to pay the revised assessment.

Endorsement to Khatum Bibi (widow & representative of the late Mr. Nasiruddin,) No. R-6435 dated 19.2.'09

Communicates the purport of the above

Note.- Contn sheet.

[illegible] memo [illegible] the [illegible]
on the first memo (No 1) in the Bilvarahalli file R.M. 164-05

4

MEMO. (No 2)

Bilvarahalli.

The petitioner, Lakshminamanappa, prays for the restoration to him of certain "hulgaval" lands included in survey No. 6 of Bilvarahalli village in the Jigani hobli of the Anekal Taluk, alleging that at the survey and settlement of the village they were unjustly given away to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin at a low rate of assessment, though they were in his holding and were not relinquished by him.

The Settlement took effect from 1891-92.

2. Bilvarahalli is a Government village almost the whole of which, excepting the Toti and Shanbogi inams, is in the holding of the petitioner, a portion of it having been purchased by him from one Gotigere Venkataswami in the year 1894 and the rest in 1896 from one Maloor Yellappa, who in 1889 had obtained it by sale from the late retired Amildar Ali Nakhi Khan.

J,
N,

3. The village, it would appear, was

9!

Present extent of S No 6 is 484 acres, 10 guntas
This sub division 208 acres
Portion claimed by Lakshminarasamma [illegible]
276 acres & 10 guntas

surveyed about the year 1890-91 when certain "hulgaval" lands in the holding of Maloor Yellappa and certain others in the holding of the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin were clubbed together and formed into S. No. 6.

O

Copy of letter No 1139 addressed by Mr Syed Nasiruddin to the S. Supdt

M & T
about June 91.

4. Thereupon Maloor Yellappa applied to the Deputy Superintendent of Rev. Survey for the sub division of the survey ~~No~~ number, so as to have his portion of it demarcated. He was informed that the survey No. would be sub-divided, provided he consented to pay assessment on the land at the rate of Re 1-4-0 per acre.

M & M,
Paper 29. In July 91.

M & M,

Yellappa appears to have demurred to do so, on the ground that the soil was inferior; but prayed that the lands may be given to him on reduced assessment, as had been done in the case of neighbouring holders, expressing his willingness to abide, at any rate, by the decision of the Deputy Superintendent.

Y11 On 23rd March '91
~~About~~ On 25th August '88
L, A & Z11

5. Shortly before this, however, it happened that Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, who had a few years before purchased at a Govt. sale "banjar" lands belonging to the neighbouring villages of Kolemipalya (a hamlet attached to Kannayakana Agrahar) and to the ~~hamlets~~ villages of Shanboganahalli and Kacharakuppa ø (hamlet~~s~~ attached to Bilwarahalli) applied to the Survey Superintendent, praying that those lands may be assessed at reduced rates, in consideration of the heavy expenses he would have to incur in bringing them once again under cultivation.

ø Subsequently included in Survey No. 6 of Bilvarahalli.

H. O. Y11 (pp 4 & 5)

H. Mr. N's letter dated 9.3.'92.

Mr. N's letters dated 18.1.'92 & 22.1.92 (W)

Col. Grant's letter dated 2.3.'92

Mr. N's letter dated 9.3.'92

Col. Grant's letter to Mr. Mackay dated 14.3.'92

6. While considering this application, the Survey Superintendent, acting perhaps on the strength of Mr. Nasiruddin's statement that certain lands adjoining Kacharkuppe were also lying unoccupied, asked him whether he was willing to take them up also, and on his consenting ordered that the whole of survey No. 6 and

certain other lands belonging to the villages of Shanboganahalli and Kannayakana~~halli~~-- Agrahar should be given to him assessed at 3 annas per acre.

T On 22.8.'92

B & 2 petitions marked A4 & A5 in blue pencil

Yellappa having been informed of this by the Amildar made several applications both to the Survey Superintendent and the Deputy Commissioner praying for the restoration to him of his land included in S. No. 6. These having proved fruitless, his successor in title, Lakshmiramanappa, petitioned His Highness the Maharaja in the matter. On the transfer of his petition to the General and Revenue Secretariat, the records connected with the case were called for.

C, On 3.9.05.

G On 22.9.05

*. X11 L11

Letter No. 88, dated 21st December 1906, from the Survey & Settlement Commissioner in Mysore to Secretary to Govt.

7. They are *reported to be missing. Even the settlement papers are stated to have been lost in transit between the Taluk and the Sub Divisional Assistant Commissioner's Office~~s~~. Certain unauthenticated copies of correspondence bearing

" The most important part of the correspondence which has taken place on the above case has been lost. It was sent to the Dy Comrs with this Office Vernacular No. 2780 of 22nd July 1892." See also Survey Superintendent's letter No. 27 dated 12th March 1907. K11

Dewan's remarks.

The Deputy Commr's attention should without delay be drawn to these two letters and he should be asked to report how the correspondence was lost and who is responsible for it, and also to the punishment he would recommend for those who were responsible for the loss.

This direction was carried out vide letter No 62 d/30-7-08 & reply thereto No 77 received on 20-8-08.
M,, W & Y,,

Khates of the years immediately preceding & succeeding the Survey & Settlement. i.e. 1889-90; '90-91; '91-92 & ~~'92-'93~~ [illegible] T, Y,
on 6/7'89

A. Q. W, a Y,
[illegible]

J

R. & P,
[illegible]

on the subject have been produced by the petitioner. These, as well as the allegations of the petitioner, are verified by certain original papers and the extracts from the registers obtained from Survey Superintendent's office and from the entries in some of the village accounts obtained from the Amildar.

8. Among the Bilvarahalli lands, purchased by Maloor Yellappa from Ali Nakhi Khan, were included, it would appear, 28 pieces of uncultivated land held as "hulgaval" at a reduced assessment amounting to ~~Rs.~~ 31 and odd rupees. Mr. Syed Nasiruddin held the whole of the adjoining deserted hamlet of Kacharkuppe, bearing the maji number 52. The major portion of it was overgrown by jungle and bore an assessment of 9 and odd rupees, having been treated as "hulgaval". Besides these two persons, there seem to have been no other ryots who had such

"hulgaval" lands included in their holdings. When the village was surveyed, these two hulgaval lands were combined into one large survey number 6. The extent of it, as well as of its component parts, is given in the report of the Mojanidar, who was, on the application of Yellappa, deputed to sub-divide this survey number. And the boundaries of the deserted hamlet of Kacharkuppe, the whole of which is included in S. No. 6, have been described by the Patel and Shanbog of Bilvarahalli and the hobli Shekdar. They appear to be natural land marks and therefore easily identifiable even now. Their identification and the ascertainment by remeasurement of the extent of Kacharkuppe may be ordered.

M, (paper 30)

3 484 acres & 20 guntas.

	Acres	Guntas
Yellappa's	276 —	20
Mr Nasirudin's	208 —	0

M,

M, (paper 31) & 9

L,

Dewans order

Order.

This has been done & a sketch has been obtained, which shows the land originally claimed by Lakshmi Ramanappa

G,, On 22.9.05

9. The Survey Commissioner was asked to report under what circumstances the whole of survey number 6 was ordered to be given away to Mr. Nasiruddin. He has

X,, (para 3)
Letter No 88 dated 21.12.06

stated that at this distance of time, and in the absence of the settlement records, it is difficult to state exactly the circumstances under which the lands were given to Mr. Nasiruddin; but that from the information which could be gathered from the available references, he is only able to state that the land was given away upon the strength of the Amildar's report No. 517, dated the 28th February 1892. He is of opinion that the petitioner's is a hard case, but unless fraud or some other vitiating circumstance is proved, it would be difficult to deprive Mr. Nasiruddin's successor of the lands in question and restore them to Lakshmiramanappa.

H

H Unauthenticated but proved by extracts from the Registers.

10. A copy of the Amildar's report, No 517, dated 28-2-1892 upon the strength of which the Survey Commissioner (Col. Grant) states Mr. Nasiruddin was given the lands is available. No mention is made

therein that Maloor Yellappa's lands were included in S. No. 6; nor has it been stated that any of those lands had been relinquished by him. What is stated in it with reference to Bilvarahalli is contained in the marginally noted extract.* It will be seen therefrom that the Amildar merely recommends that as the major† portion of it is in the holding of Mr. Syed Nasiruddin it would be advantageous to Government to give the whole of it to him, and states that in case it is found inexpedient to do so, as much of it at least as is actually in his possession and enjoyment, may be ordered to be subdivided. The Amildar was at the time of making this report, (28-2-1892, vide flag H), aware of Yellappa's application dated July '91. (Flag H) to have his portion sub-divided; and it is somewhat suspicious that the Amildar should not have made any reference to the fact that a part of the survey No.

* [illegible] × × × × [illegible]

†. An incorrect statement. The major portion belonged to Yellappa, Mr. Nasiruddin's portion being only 208 acres & Yellappa's 276 and odd acres.

† Amildar's endorsement granted to Yellappa

3. As the area available for grazing is limited, I am of opinion that such large tracts of land should not be given out to individuals on reduced rates of assessment, except for special reasons, which do not exist in this case. The ryots of adjoining villages, moreover, whose cattle graze in Shanboganhalli, strongly object to the land being given on reduced assessment to the applicant."

Dewan's remarks.

Mr. Nasiruddin was Offg. Deputy Commissioner of ~~the~~ Bangalore at the date of the above letter from the Deputy Superintendent of Survey.

12. It is difficult to understand how in spite of this weighty objection and also the refusal to sub-divide and restore the part which belonged to Yellappa, ~~pa~~ unless he consented to pay assessment at the rate of Re 1-4-0 per acre, the lands could have been ordered to be given away to Mr. Nasiruddin at a "hulgaval" rate, ~~if Mr. Nasiruddin had not exercised the influence of his official position to cause a suppression of~~ or ~~misrepresentation of facts.~~ x

13. The Revenue Commissioner was consulted unofficially. His opinion will be found in detail at page 20 of the

29

the Office Note. He considers that (1) Mr. Nasiruddin's application for land included in S. No. 6, other than that of Kacharkuppe, without previously obtaining the permission of Government, is against the rules, (2) that as the petitioner and his predecessor in title have been contesting the matter from the beginning, the case cannot be said to be time-barred, nor could the late Mr. Nasiruddin's heirs plead his long enjoyment, which has all along been disputed.

14. He is therefore in favour of both parties being heard on the merits and justice being dealt with to the petitioner. A notice may be issued to the representatives of Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, and if they are not able to adduce any facts in support of the grant of land to them being quite fair, the grant may be cancelled, the portion belonging to the deceased Lakshmiramanappa being restored to him and the rest confirmed to Syed

Dewan's remarks.

Give the parties notice for 21st August to appear before me at the Public Offices.

16. At the survey and settlement of the village about the year 1890-91 survey Nos. 16 and 17 of Kannayakana Agrahar measuring 786 acres and 2 guntas assessed at Rs. 132 were, at Mr. Syed Nasiruddin's request, granted* to him at a low rate of assessment, viz., 3 annas per acre. Nanja and certain other ryots of Kannayakana Agrahar addressed several petitions to the Dewan protesting against this, on the ground that those lands having formerly been in the holding of one of them, Nanja, had during the settlement operations been reserved as free grazing land for the cattle of their village by the Deputy Superintendent of Rev. Survey, and praying that the said survey Nos. may be restored to them.

O (Bilvarhalli file)
* H (Bilvarhalli file) Col. Grant's letter to Mr. Mackay of 14.3.92

N + P.
No 98 dated 12.7.92
N 590 — 24.8.97

P. dated 12.7.92

17. The first of these petitions was sent to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for enquiry and disposal, and the Deputy Commissioner was

died or retired from service. As regards the complaint itself, it is stated that there have been no repetitions of it for a long time, owing either to the death of the petitioners, or their having left the village and settled down elsewhere. Of the 6 persons belonging to the latter category, only 3 are forthcoming, the whereabouts of the others being not known. Two out of the 3 available persons are reported to be willing to return to the village provided S Nos. 16 and 17 are restored to the village as gomal; ~~an~~ and the other, shanbog Narasingappa, to have deposed that the survey numbers in question were, prior to their being given away to Mr. Nasiruddin, being used as gomal for the village cattle.

Enclosure to Key V
Asst: Commissioner's letter to Deputy
Comm. No. 147 d 14.8.07

21. It appears from the Amildar's report No. 120 dated 19-8-1893, that S. No. 16 measuring 490 acres and 9 guntas had been reserved as gomal for the use of

Blue Key B.
Book V of extracts from
Registers forming Enclo:
to Anekal Amildar's Report
No 546 d 6.4.07

the village cattle by the Deputy Superintendent of Rev. Survey, at the survey and settlement;, ~~and that~~ S. No. 17 though in
A (Bilvanhalli File) the enjoyment of Mr. Syed Nasiruddin was
C (do) not, as alleged by Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, one of the lands purchased by him in
H. do. auction (vide his report No. 517 dated 28-2-1892). The Deputy Superintendent of Rev. Survey on being consulted by the
D (Bilvanhalli File) Superintendent of Survey protested against ~~at~~ the lands being given away to Mr. Nasiruddin. In spite of it, they were given away, for reasons which are not definitely ascertainable now. Mr. Nasiruddin does not appear to have obtained the permission of Government to acquire these lands. As however, all complaints in the matter have ceased, both the Deputy Commissioner and the
R R. C's letter of 15-5-07 Revenue Commissioner are of opinion that the lands may be fully assessed and con-
It is now stated that the lands have fallen to the share of Mr. Nasiruddin's firmed to the present pattadar, ~~Mr.~~
Mr. Nasiruddin's son, especially in view of

23. Notice may also be issued to the representatives of Mr. Syed Nasiruddin in this case to show cause why the assessment on these lands should not be revised.

Dewan's remarks.

Issue notice on this point also.

Dewan's Orders.

It is a bad case from every point of view. Orders will be passed after the hearing.

Intd. V. P. M.

25-7-1908.

K.R.

No. 15

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,
Bangalore 30th June 1906

LR 79-92-(18)

FROM

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR ESQ., B. A., B. L.
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 1731-32/ Pet. dated 24th June 1903 calling for a report as to how the persons responsible for the loss of records connected with the grant to Mr. Syed Nasarudin of certain lands belonging to Nanja and others of Kannaikan Agrahar in the Anekal Taluk were dealt with, I have the honor to forward herewith letter No. 459 dated 25th May 1906 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that there have been no complaints in the matter subsequent to the date of the petition to Government, that the records lost have not been traced out and that many of the officials who might have dealt with them have either left the office or are dead.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Subbarao
For Revenue Commissioner.

A.N.

Rev. Commr.
Form 12.

1906

L.R. 427 of 1892-1893
Sl no. 18

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR Esq. B. A., B. L.
*Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.*

To

*The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.*

Dated 30th June 1906

Despatched 3rd July 1906

Received

No. 15

180/Rev

Enclosure No. 1

Forwarding Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's report regarding the ryots' protest against the grant of certain lands to the late Mr. Syed Nasirudin in the Kannaikan Agrahar of the Anekal Taluk.

THE OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
No. 11608
MAY 28 1906
BANGALORE. 1905-6
IN MYSORE

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Rev. Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 25th ~~March~~ May 1906.
Despatched 26th

Received

General No.

R.C. No. 459

Enclosure No.

His No. 3411 of 30.6.03.

Reports regarding the ryots' protest against the grant of certain lands to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin in the Kannaikanagrahar of the Anekal Taluk.

28/5

Papers put up
4/6/06

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

R.C.No. 459. Dated Bangalore, 25th May 1906.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire ,
Deputy Commissioner ,
Bangalore District.

To,

A. Rangasami Iyengar Esquire, B.A.B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir ,

With reference to your Memo.No.3411 of the 30th June 1903, forwarding copy of Govt.Proceedings No.Pet./1731-32 dated the 24th June 1903, regarding the ryots protest against the grant of certain lands to the late Mr.Syed Naseerudin in the Kannaikan Agrahar village of the Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to state that Survey Nos.16 & 17 measuring 786 acres & 2 guntas and bearing an assessment of Rs.132/- were granted to the late Mr.Syed Naseerudin and Patta made in his name, and subsequent to his death in the year 1901-2 it was made out in his son's name. Thus it is found that the lands have been in undisturbed possession of the late Mr.Syed Naseerudin's family since 1891. The Amildar also reports that there have been no difficulty or complaints in the matter subsequent to the date of the petition to Govt. Under the circumstances I do not think that there is any use in raking up the question afresh.

[illegible]

2. As regards the remarks of Govt.contained in the last para of their Proceedings under reference, any amount of search has not traced out the records. The records relate to a period 15 years ago and many of the officials who might have dealt with it have either left the office or died.

I have the honor to be ,
Sir ,
Your most obedient servant ,
Devaraj
Deputy Commissioner ,
Bangalore District.

R N S

LR 79-92

1906-07 (19) 3

1

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The Rev: Commr
in Mysore.

Dated
Despatched } 16th July 1906.
Received

General No. R. 680
No.

Enclosure No. LR 427-92-19

His letter no. 15 of the 30th June 1906, forwarding letter no. 459 of the 25th May 1906 from the Dy Commr. of the Bangalore District, reporting that the records connected with the grant to the late Mr Syed Nasiruddin of S. nos 16 and 17 measuring $\overset{A}{7}86 - \overset{G}{2}$ and assessed at Rs. 132/- in the Kannaikan Agrahar village, Anekal Taluk, have been lost and cannot be traced, that the officials who might have dealt with them have either left the office or died, and that as there have been no difficulty or complaints in the matter Subsequent to the Date of the petition to Govt, there is no use in taking up the question afresh.

~

With reference to the above, has the honour to request the Revenue Commr. to obtain information on the following points from the Dy Commr. and forward the Same to Govt. with his opinion as to what Course he Suggests Should be adopted in the matter:—

(1) What the original objectors to the grant of the land to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, have now to Say to it.

(2) A report, by a reference to the Registers, if possible, of the various Steps that were taken from the time the Complaint was first made as to the unjust character of the grant.

(3) Is any portion of the land cultivated and if So, Since when and with what crops and why Should not the land be Subjected to full assessment in that case?

(4) Are there any other instances of a Similar extent of land

No. 13744
2 & V.P.

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 15th May 1907.
22d

FROM

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq. B.A., B.L.
~~*The*~~ *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. R 680/ L R 427-92-19 dated 16th July 1906 regarding the grant of certain lands to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin ~~Khan~~, in the Kannaikan Agrahar village, Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No. 782 dated 30th April 1907, together with its enclosures in original from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting further information in the matter.

2. The Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore states that no land of the petitioner Nanja was given away to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, that the survey Nos. (viz. 16 and 17) which were first obtained by him on Shraya tenure have not yet been brought under cultivation, and that they have been leased out at a profitable rate to the Commissariat Department for purposes of grass ø fodder, and recommends that they may be fully assessed and given out to the Pattadar.

[illegible] Vakil
remark on
D.C.'s report

3. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the lands in question may be fully assessed and granted to the Pattadar as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

Rev. Comr.
Form 12.

[illegible] 1907. 8.719
Rev

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner
L.R. 427 of 1892-93 in Mysore.

To

Serial no (20)

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 15th } May 1907.
Despatched 22d }
Received
No. 13744

Enclosure No. 2 & V.P.

Forwarding for orders papers connected with the grant of certain lands to the late Mr Syed Nasaruddin Khan in the Kannahan agrahar village, Anekal Taluk and recommending the grant of lands to the Pattadars (Mr S. H. Khan)

Young & Co., Printers, 2,000—6-3-07.

No. R C 782 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 30th April 1907

From

H.H. Sparkes Esq.

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esq. B.A., B.L.

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 684 dated 19th July 1906, calling for certain additional information in connection with the grant to late Mr. Syed Naseruddin ~~Khan~~ of certain lands in the Kannaikan Agrahar village, Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. 895 of the 11th instant, from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub Division, together with its enclosures, furnishing information on the several points called for by the Government.

Flag D

2. It will be seen from the Amildar's report No. 120 of 19th August 1892 (Vide register extracts herewith forwarded) to the Divisional Assistant Commissioner that maji Nos. 26,14,22 17 and 89 which were hulgaval lands were in the khate of Nanja; that during the survey settlement No. 26 was converted into survey No. 38 measuring 23 acres and 32 guntas bearing an assessment of ₹28/- and the khate confirmed in Nanja's name on his agreeing to the settlement and the remaining maji numbers, × except 17, were also included in survey No. 38 and treated as the kharab portion of the said survey number, which was in possession and enjoyment of Nanja; that maji No. 76 bearing an assessment of ₹8/- was in the khate of Mr. Syed Naseruddin, and during the survey this number was included in survey No. 16 measuring 490 acres 9 guntas and entered in the accounts as gomal or free grazing land; and that subsequently in 1892 March this number and Survey No. 17 which was under kharab were given away to Mr. Syed Naseruddin under the orders of the Survey Superintendent at 3 annas per acre. From this

× This is incorrect it was also in- [illegible] this it may be inferred that no land of the petitioner Nanja was given away to the late Mr. Syed Naseruddin.

3. As these numbers which were first obtained by Mr. Nasiruddin on shraya tenure have not as yet been brought under cultivation but have been leased out at a profitable rate to the Commissariat Department for purpose of grass folder, the lands may be fully assessed and given out to the pattadar as recommended by the Amildar in his report No. 546 dated the 6th April 1907, .

This is [illegible] [illegible] for them on Shraya but [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

I have etc.....

Sd/ H.H. Sparkes

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

"COPY"

For Revenue Commissioner.

A.N.

From

The Secy to the Govt
of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore. General
& Revenue Department.

To

The Rev: Commr.
in Mysore

Dt 16 July/06.
No. R.660 L.R. 427.92.97

His letter No 15. dt the 30 June/06 forwarding letter No 457 of the 25th May/06 from the Dy Commr. of the Bang Dt reporting that the records connected with the Grant to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin of S. Nos 16 & 17 measuring 786 acres 2 guntas & assessed at Rs 132 in the Kannaikanna agrahar village in the Anekal Taluk have been lost & cannot be traced, that the officials who might have dealt with them have either left the office or died & that as there have been no difficulty or complaints in the matter subsequent to the date of the petition to Govt. there is no use of raking up the q^n afresh.

With reference to the above has the honor to request the Rev Comr. to obtain information on the following points from the Dy Comr. & forward the same to Govt. with his opinion as to course he suggests, shd. be adopted in the matter.

(1). What the original objectors to the grant of the land to Mr Syed Nasiruddin have now to say to it

(2). A report by a reference to the registers if possible of the various steps that were taken from the time the complaint was first made as to the unjust

Character of the Grant.—

(3) Is any portion of the land cultivated & if so, since when & with what crops & why shd not the land be subjected to full assessment in that case

(4). Are there any other instances of a similar extent of land being held at the exceptionally low rate of assessment.

(5.) In whose possession was the land before it was acqd by Mr Nasimudin. If no records are available this information may be obtained by a reference to the entries in the Khetwar patrika

(6). The phaisal patrika & Hulbunni pathrika of the Survey records should also be forwarded for reference.

A very early reply is requested

6396/667

483/1 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible] 25-3-07 - [illegible]

Please comply with this requisition at once

Hamilton [illegible]

27-3-[illegible] [illegible]

7586/[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 9.4.07

Reply at once

[illegible] 483 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 15-3-32 [illegible]

51 [illegible]

[illegible]

2) [illegible]

[illegible] 1891 [illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible]

Khetan

[illegible]

6-4-07

Amildar

7 books

I

ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನ—

[illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿ [illegible] ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನ [illegible]

1888-89 ನೇ [illegible]

[illegible]—

Enclosures to Ankal Amildar's
report No. 546 dated the 6th April 07

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

5 13 30-7-88- [illegible]

413/480 5-8-88

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1236-5-4. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10 [illegible] 4563-72-812[illegible]

524 August 3.

$\frac{413}{480}$

$\frac{426}{474}$

5/5/88

[illegible] 12–13 [illegible]

[illegible] 28 [illegible]

635.28

[illegible] $\frac{446}{519}$ [illegible] $\frac{506}{585}$ 31/8/88

[illegible] 25 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

//35 27 [illegible] 819/1017 [illegible] //00/2 7/4/85

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 50 [illegible]

[illegible] 819 [illegible]

[illegible]

1890-91 [illegible]

[illegible]

4 11 23 [illegible] 281/361 4 354/455 3/9/70

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1891-92 [illegible]

189 29 134 [illegible] 210/134 1

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1888-89 [illegible] 1106 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

239 12. 164 [illegible] 245 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

242 14. [illegible] 4

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

635 18 [illegible] 1 — 8/9 9-11-41

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

77 14 [illegible] 1293 26-2-72

[illegible] 18-9-91 [illegible] 635 [illegible]

792 20- 609 [illegible] 849

[illegible] 1106 [illegible]

[illegible] 210 [illegible] 30-5-91 [illegible] 189

[illegible]

705 5 [illegible] 1091 11-1-92

1165 31-1-92

[illegible] 12-6-91 [illegible] 239 [illegible]

2-3

1024 30

283

30-5-91

~~1075~~ 13

839

1165

1200 7-2-92

[illegible] 1001

[illegible] 23 [illegible]

[illegible]

133 29. [illegible] 72 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 20-1-92 [illegible] 29 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1161 2 937 [illegible] 293

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

28 [illegible] 517 [illegible]

[illegible]

1162 | [illegible] | [illegible] 2

1892-93 [illegible]

33. [illegible] 12 | [illegible] 29-2-92 [illegible] 1133 [illegible] | 219 | 11-5-92

112 | [illegible] 3 | 921 [illegible] | 126 | 233 | 12-5-92

203 .9-5-4

153 12

159 16

[illegible] 25-5-92

[illegible]

182 | 19 | 121 [illegible] 233

[illegible] 113 [illegible]

[illegible] 16 [illegible]

159 [illegible]

204 | 23 | 142 [illegible] 263 [illegible] 115 [illegible]
8-8-92

1

[illegible]

354 | 24 | 234 [illegible] 401

[illegible] -14-22-17-89 [illegible]

[illegible] 9-3-11 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 38 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 27.6-17 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 15-3-72

[illegible] (0-3-0) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7 books

II

— [illegible] —

[illegible]
[illegible] ೧೮೮೮-೮೯ [illegible]
[illegible]

Enclosure to [illegible] Amildar's
report No 5166, dated the 6th April '07

నిబంధన—

[illegible]

శ్రీ [illegible] సంవ—

శ్రీ [illegible]

శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible] సంవ—

[illegible]

[illegible] సంవ—

శ్రీ

శ్రీ [illegible]

4-8-88 [illegible]

480 4 413 31

[illegible] 5213 | 1 | [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

494 8 426 7 [illegible] 524 | [illegible] 1 | 558

12-8-88

[illegible]

519 15 446 14 [illegible] 135

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

506 30 [illegible] 135

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1107 27-12-819 26 [illegible] 1155 27-12-89

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4 905 [illegible]

[illegible] 19 [illegible] 1144

[illegible]

5-3-4-89 [illegible] 1135

2 3 1106 29 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 2107 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1890 - 91 [illegible] —

361 [illegible] 30 281 25 [illegible] 411
[illegible]

455 [illegible] 3 354 27 [illegible] 411 [illegible] 1
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 210 29 134 27 | 1891—92 [illegible] | 189 30-5-91

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 31 [illegible] 11 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 2.45 12 164 10 | [illegible] | 239 12-6-91

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

889	9 [illegible]	590	6	[illegible]	6355		
849	15 [illegible]	609	12	[illegible]			792 30-11-91
1293	26 [illegible]	937	22	[illegible]	697	1	1161 3-3-92
1165	31 [illegible]	839	28	[illegible]	965	0	1075 17-2-92

[illegible]
1200 7 864 5

1024 [illegible] 1024
[illegible]

[illegible]
1091 11 781 8

965 [illegible] 965 — 1108
[illegible]

1892-93 [illegible]

[illegible]
219 11 113 7

33 [illegible] 33 159
16-5-92
[illegible]

233 12 121 11

112 [illegible] 112 182
1
19-5-92
[illegible]

263	19	142	16	[illegible] 13 [illegible] 153 [illegible] 1133—1161— 1162 [illegible] [illegible] 960 [illegible]	1	204	17-5-92
401	18	234	14	[illegible] 13 [illegible]		355 24-6-92	

1893-94 [illegible]

[illegible]

7 [illegible]

III

[illegible]

Amildar's orders to Shekdar
re ~~[illegible]~~ certain lands
1888—8.9 (purchased by Mr. S. Azimuddin
at a Darkhast-sale.)

Enclosure to Ankal Amildar's
report No 5268 16/ii/07

334 August 15 | [illegible] 3 561/527 | 27/8/88

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 14-8-88 [illegible]

446 [illegible]

[illegible] 25 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1888-89 [illegible]

September

378 6/9 [illegible] 030 8/9/88

[illegible] 12-0-0 [illegible] 36-0-0. [illegible]

[illegible] 4-9-88 [illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible] 6-9-88 [illegible]

[illegible]

23-4-0 [illegible]

8-4-0 [illegible]

[illegible] 36-0-0. [illegible]

409 22/9 [illegible] 673 27-9-88

[illegible]

[illegible]

November

497	12	[illegible]	-	1	S 20	24-11-88

[illegible] 6/4/07

IV

[illegible]

Reports from Shekdar

1888 – 89

Enclosure to the Hukal Amildar's Report –
No 546 dated the 6th April '07.

527	August 27 561 27.	[illegible]	334	4
597	Sept 18 630 16.	[illegible]	378	-
641	October 26/31 073 23.	[illegible]	409	-
786	November 21 820 21	[illegible]	497	.

120 [illegible]

102 [illegible]

553 [illegible]

19· [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ㉖-14-22-17-89 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 38 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8-14-22-17-89 [illegible]

[illegible] 38 [illegible]

[illegible] 38 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 76 [illegible] 8 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 16 [illegible]

[illegible] 16

[illegible] 490-9 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] No. 1 = S. No 38
all the [illegible] with [illegible] +
[illegible]
heads [illegible]
Survey No 38

Mazi No 76 = S. No 16
[illegible] as
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892-93 [illegible]

[illegible]

1892—93.

[illegible]

[illegible]

V

1.1. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible]

15-3-92 [illegible] 57 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 152 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 76 [illegible]

[illegible] 38 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

592 [illegible] ॥5

[illegible] 89 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

22

137

[illegible]

147. 26 738 [illegible] 206

[illegible] 22 [illegible] 137 [illegible]

153 29 [illegible] 50

[illegible] 1459 [illegible]

[illegible] 22 [illegible] 137 [illegible]

199 17. 769 [illegible] 255

[illegible]

[illegible] 22-8-92 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3.

497 29/30 [illegible] 1172 [illegible] 56

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 22-8-92 [illegible] 2/137 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

618 15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 22 [illegible] 2/137 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

548 [illegible] 28. [illegible] 1216 [illegible] 109

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 92 [illegible] 22 [illegible]

137 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6/11/07

102	[illegible] 1	553	[illegible] 30	[illegible] 261 [illegible]	[illegible] 1	120	19-8-92
115	5	592	[illegible] 4	[illegible] 10 [illegible] 204 [illegible] 3/3 [illegible] 20 [illegible] 10-204 [illegible]	[illegible] 1	137	22-8-92
206	[illegible] 17	738	15	[illegible]	[illegible]		

VI

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 1892-93 [illegible]

[illegible]

199 17-9-92

[illegible]

255 16 869 13

[illegible]

548 28-1-93

[illegible]

109 12 1216

[illegible]

VII

[illegible]

1888-89ರಲ್ಲಿ

Enclosure to the Archl
Assistant's report No 546
dated the 6th April 1907

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
27	[illegible]	27	30	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
28	[illegible]	2	3	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]

(28 [illegible]

[illegible] 1884-89 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4-2- [illegible]

[illegible] 24-11-88 [illegible]

[illegible] 31 [illegible] 11 [illegible] 446 [illegible]

[illegible] -8-11 [illegible]

[illegible] 148-5-5

[illegible] 50-2 [illegible]

198

[illegible]

[illegible] 24-11-88 [illegible]

[illegible] 94-13-5 [illegible]

[illegible] 3-8-88 [illegible] 446 [illegible]

28-8-88

G.R.S. 29A]

L.R. 427 of 1892-93

Serial (21)

~~8719~~

21

LR 79-92-20

190 6-07

From

The Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 14/6/07

Despatched

Received

General No. R. 10663

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Revenue Commissioner's letter No 13744, dated the 15th May 1907, reporting on ~~[illegible]~~, the complaint of the residents of Kammikana Agrahar in the Anekal taluk about the grant to the late Mr Syed Nasiruddin of certain hulgaval lands belonging to their village at a reduced rate of assessment, and forwarding the records connected therewith.

In para 2 of the Sub-Divisional Officer's letter No 895 of 11/4/07 to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt. (copy herein enclosed for reference & obliging return) it is stated that as the Survey & Settlement papers are missing, it is not possible to ascertain what mayi nos. are included in Survey nos 16 & 17, & who held them & commence -

quently who were the persons that originally objected to the grant made to Mr Syed Nasir uddin. The names of these persons, as ascertained from petitions received in this office are given in the margin,* and it is requested that a report may be submitted at an early date as to ~~what~~ those persons have now to say.

By order

For Secretary
12/6/7

*. Naija
Yellayya
Butthanahalli ~~Bu.~~ Vengala
Amma
Pilliya
Byadara Rama
Obaliya
Narasingappa 1
Koti Muniya 2
Rudra
Appani
Bangi
Yamma Muniya
Lakshmaiyya 3
Kembathi halli Chikka Thimma 4
Vaddara Yelliya
Bidadi Yalliya 5
Yadagai Hoocha
Kolur Nanja
Veera Bhadrappa 6
Yadagai Hanumma
Yadagai Narasimha

12/6

Compared
13/6/07

SHB
12/6

No. 3761

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 20th September 1907.
23rd

LR 79-92-[illegible]

FROM

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., ~~B.A., B.L.,~~
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. R. 10663/LR 427-92 dated 14th June 1907, calling for further information in re the complaint of the residents of Kannaikana Agrahar in the Anekal Taluk about the grant to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin of certain hulgaval lands belonging to their village, at a reduced rate of assessment, I have the honour to forward herewith letter No. 158, dated 14th September 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, enclosing copy of letter No 147 dated 14th August 1907 from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub-Division, furnishing the required information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

NR

LR 427 of 1892-93

Serial No 22

Rev. Comr.
Form 12.

1907. 1601/Rev

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 26th
Despatched 23rd September 1907
Received
No. 3761.

Enclosure No. Two.

Forwarding Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 158, furnishing certain information about the grant of hulgaval land to Syed Nasiruddin &c.

Young & Co., Printers, 2,000—6-8-07

information on the points raised by the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, in his Docket No. R 10663/M.L.R. 427-92 dated 14th June 1907 to your address.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Chetty
for Dy. Commissioner

Letter No. 147 dated 14th August 1907 from Senior Assistant Commissioner Bangalore Sub Division to Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

---

your Memo No. 77 dated 6th july, 1907, Calling for a further report as to what the 22 persons who petitioned the Revenue Commissioner have now to say regarding the grant made to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin of Certain hudgavel lands in Kannaikanaagrahar Village, Anekal taluk.

Referring to the above, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that out of 22 persons, only six are alive, the others being dead.

The six persons who are stated to be alive are —

No. 8. ✓ Narasingappa residing at Nyanappanahalli Bangalore Taluk.

No. 9: Toti Muniga - residing at Golhalli Anekal Taluk.

No. 10. Lakshmaiya - residing in Mattigere Somanahalli hobli Kankanhalli Taluk.

No. 11. Kembattanahalli Chick Timma residing in gadi Kembattanahalli Bangalore Taluk.

No. 13. Bidadi Yellaga - residing in Holyankere Uttrahalli hobli, Bangalore Taluk.

No. 16. Veerabhadrappa - residing in Hucheera Govdanapallya Somanahally hobli Kankanhally Taluk.

2) Nos. 13 and 16 have gone away from Kannaikana Agrahar after selling their lands to No. 11, and their statements have not been taken down.

Nos. 8 and 9 and 11 have been examined.

No. 8 - Narasingappa's statement is to the following effect:—

Maji Nos. 14, 17, 22, 26,) 5, 7, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 36, 47, 50, 51, and 53 with a total bijavari of $\overset{K}{11}\cdot\overset{K}{18}\cdot\overset{P}{6}$ have been formed into Survey No. 16:—

Of the above maji numbers, only Nos 14 and 17, 22 and 26.— measuring $\overset{K}{8}\cdot\overset{K}{9}\cdot\overset{P}{3\tfrac{1}{4}}$ and assessed at ~~Rs~~ 6-10-0 were in the Khate of Nanja.—

Maji Nos (76, 89), 69, 81, 85, 87, 88 and 92, measuring in all $\overset{K}{11}$—$\overset{K}{6}$—$\overset{P}{14\tfrac{1}{4}}$ have been formed into Survey No. 17.—

Of these maji numbers, only Nos 76 and 89 measuring $\overset{K}{9}$—$\overset{K}{9}$—$\overset{P}{3\tfrac{1}{4}}$ and assessed at Rupees 10-14-7 were in the Khate of Nanja.—

Incorrect — vide Amildar's report No 1 [illegible] 19/10/92 (Flag D. Blue)

This is to have been in the Khate of [illegible] vide [illegible] Flag A [illegible] File

He (Narasingappa) objected to the grant of Survey Nos 16 and 17 to Mr. Syed Nasirudin on the ground that they were used as grazing lands for the Village cattle.—

He appeared at the Dewan's office in pursuance of a notice received by him but no

Enquiry was made. —

Chick Timma states that he was a resident of the Village and owned lands and Cattle; that as the lands Survey Numbers 16 and 17 which were being used as gomal were granted to Mr. Syed Nasirudin, he was put to inconvienience and was obliged to leave the Village; — that he preferred a Complaint but no Enquiry was held and that if Survey No. 16 at least be set apart as gomal, he is willing to live in the Village as by this arrangement, the Village Cattle would find their way to the other grazing lands which are of a limited Extent.

Toti Muniga states that Village Cattle were not allowed to go to the gomal lands since they were granted to Mr. Syed Nasirudin; consequently the Villagers had to leave the village and settle elsewhere; and ~~that~~ as he was alone, he also left the village and went to Golhalli.

He further adds that he does not get anything on account of miras as the Village lands are lying waste and the raiyats refuse to give him anything and that if Survey No 16 be granted as gomal, the raiyats would settle in the Village No 16 - Veerabhadrappa has not been Examined as he is living in the Kankanhally Taluk.

3) Shanbogue Narasingappa bases his statement on the Pahani account of the year Sarvajitu (1887-88), an extract whereof is filed with the amildar's report But in the absence of the Survey settlement accounts of the year 1891-92 which are missing, the statement of the Shanbog cannot be verified—

The report and its enclosures

received from the Amildar are herein Enclosed:—

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Proceedings an enquiry is ordered. It is submitted that the claim is a stale one, and that no advantage would accrue if the enquiry is still continued.

4. The Amildar, who made the enquiry as per the direction in the above said proceedings, recommends in his report dated 27th. July 1904 that the question on hand may be dropped, as the appellants have not shown any earnestness to press their claim, since 1895 when they presented the petition. They have not chosen to put in a single reminder since then, nor have they cared to know what has become of their appeal. Besides, it is not right, he says, that the person in possession for more than 12 years should now be disturbed. This is reasonable enough, and to depart from this recommendation requires a strong case to be made out.

5. The Deputy Commissioner, moreover, says, in his letter to the Revenue Commissioner, dated 22nd. May 1907, that no portion of Naga's land is included in Survey Nos. 16 and 17, nor are they, he says, brought under cultivation. He recommends that these may be fully assessed, though they were at first given on Shrotriyam. He seems to be of opinion that it is not proper to disturb respondent's possession of the above said Survey numbers. It is submitted that the enhancement of Revenue is not called for, when these numbers are not brought under cultivation.

6. These lands had been in Sayed Nasiruddin's possession for a long time prior to Survey Settlement, and that they were confirmed to him at the Survey Settlement. It is not said that the Survey Proceedings are tainted with fraud and there is no application made, within the time allowed by law, (Vide Sec: 118 of the Land Revenue Code) to have these Proceedings set aside. Under these circumstances Survey Proceedings cannot be set aside.

L. R. File 427 of 92-93

Sl. No (24)

Fair Draft.

LR 79-92-24

Read:-

P

Petition, dated the 12th July 1892, from Nanja & other ryots of Kannayakna Agrahar, Anekal Taluk, protesting against the grant at the Survey & Settlement to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, a Assistant Commissioner, of certain " Gomal " lands attached to their village.

H

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.15, dated the 30th June 1906, from the Revenue Commissioner reporting with reference to the above, that the records relating to the case are missing, that many of the officials who might have dealt with them have been either retired or are dead; & that the complainants have not shown any desire to press the matter since.

3. Further correspondence ending with letter No.3761, dated the 20th September 1907, from the same officer furnish

additional information in the matter.

Order thereon No:- R. 5869-70 K.R. 427/92-93 / 24

Dated 9th Feby 1909.

The following are the facts of the case.

Mr. Syed Nasiruddin ~~had~~ purchased at a revenue sale on the 25th August 1888 the deserted hamlet of Kolimpalya, attached to the village of Kannayakna Agrahar, & two other deserted villages in the Anekal Taluk. During the Survey & Settlement of that Taluk in the years 1890-91 he applied to the Survey Superintendent on the 23rd March 1891 to confirm them to him ~~at~~ on a light assessment, in consideration of the heavy expenses he would have to incur in reestablishing the villages. He also prayed at the same time that the adjoining "kharab." lands Survey Nos. 16&17 of Kannayakana Agrahar may be given to him on the same terms.

L (Bilvarahalli File)

L.G. Y11 (50)

* Extent - 786 acres & 2 guntas

2. Thereupon the Survey Superintendent called for a report from the Deputy Superintendent, Col. Rutherford, who was then

No 861 of 23.3.91.
L.G. Y11 (Bilvarahalli File)

conducting the settlement operations of the taluk. That officer strongly objected to any concessions being shown, ~~the grant in 1891~~ saying that there were no special reasons why the lands should be given to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin ~~at~~ on a reduced assessment, & that, as he had already acquired large tracts of unoccupied lands in the locality, the ryots would be put to great difficulties for grazing, if these lands were also given to him. A further report was called for from the Deputy Supdt. by the Superintendent of Survey; &, on his citing certain precedents in which ~~similar~~ some concessions had been shown for reviving "becherac" villages, Mr. Nasiruddin was asked whether he was willing to take up all the ~~entire extent of the~~ lands applied for by him, at the uniform rate of 3 annas per acre. Mr. Nasiruddin having consented to it the lands were granted to him on the 14th March 1892.

No 214 d/ 5.5.91. p. 4 V/11
Bilvarhalli File.

No 225 dated 1.7.91
V/11 (Bilvarhalli File)

No 593 dated 12.12.91
p. 5 V/11 (Bilvarhalli File)

No 1092 dated 16.12.91
V/11 (Bilvarhalli File

3. The ryots of Kannayakna Agrahar on coming to know of this, addressed a pe-

P

tition to the Dewan, on the 12th July 189[illegible] protesting against the grant on the ground that S. Nos. 16 & 17 of Kammyah Agrahar set apart as free grazing lands for the village cattle at the Survey & Settlement had also been given away. The petition was sent to the Deputy Commr. for disposal & for communication of the order passed thereon to Government. But no final action was taken by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter, owing to the loss of records.

T

4. In the year 1903 in answer to reminders issued from Government, the Deputy Commissioner reported that endeavours were being made to trace the records. He was therefore directed to ascertain whether the petitioners still persisted in their complaints; & in 1906 he reported that all such complaints had ceased. As, however, it seemed to Government that the grant of such a large extent of land at an extremely low rate of assessment must have been a source of great loss to Government, a further report was called for as to how the lands were being utilized & what objection there was to levy a proper assessment on the lands.

X (L. No 1277 d/ 15.5.03)

S (G. O. No $\frac{\text{Rev.}}{1731-32}$ d/ 24.[illegible].03)

H, (No 15 dated 30.5.06)

J ([illegible] No 680 [illegible] 7.)

Letter No 147/Cer dated 14.8.07 from Senior Asst. Commr Bangalore Divn G.

5. Of the twenty two persons who originally petitioned Government, only 6 are reported to be living; & of these, the whereabouts of only 3 could be ascertained. These are Shanbhog Narasingappa, Chikka Thimma & Thoti Muniga. Of these the Shanbhog does not seem to have been affected much personally by the grant. The other two state that they had to abandon their lands in the village as they were deprived of all access to even the small extent of ~~paying~~ grazing land available for their cattle by not being allowed to pass through Survey No ~~[illegible]~~ 16 & 17, granted to Mr. Nasiruddin. They express their willingness to come & resettle themselves in the village, if Survey No. 16 is left free for grazing. But of this there seems to be little likelihood.

6. From the above facts it is clear that the original grant has been made in utter disregard of the interests of Government & of the ryots, & has apparently resulted in the complete desertion

of an already declining village. At the time of applying for the lands, Mr. Nasir-uddin was officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore Dt, & the grant of lands made to him is therefore liable to the objection that the previous sanction of Govt therefore had ~~was~~ not been obtained, as required by standing orders of Government. The Superintendent of Survey, moreover, had no powers to create a special rate of assessment for the lands & grant them on his own responsibility. And, though they were so granted by him, as a special case, with the object of promoting the cultivation of the lands, yet no such attempt has been made, & the lands are now reported to have been merely turned into a source of considerable profit by letting out the grass thereon to the Commissariat Deptt.

Amildar's re/ 21-11 546 & 6.4.0
Z

7. Under these circumstances, Government consider that they would ~~even~~ be justified even in cancelling the grant itself; but do not deem it necessary to adopt this extreme course at this distance of time. The lands

however, cannot be allowed to be held at the present rate of assessment. The Superintendent of Survey should take steps to have the lands assessed on the same principles as in the case of lands of a similar class in the neighbourhood. The lands will be confdrmed to the present holders thereof only on condition of their paying the assessment now properly fixed.

Print

For Secy to Govt.
16/1/09

9/1/1909

To

The Rev Commr in Mysore,
" Supdt, Rev Survey.

Dee
8/2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

READ—

1. Petition, dated the 12th July 1892, from Nanja and other raiyats of Kannayakana Agrahar, Anekal Taluk, protesting against the grant at the Survey and Settlement to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, Assistant Commissioner, of certain gomal lands attached to their village.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 15, dated the 30th June 1906, from the Revenue Commissioner, reporting with reference to the above, that the records relating to the case are missing; that many of the officials who might have dealt with them have been either retired or are dead; and that the complainants have not shown any desire to press the matter since.

3. Further correspondence ending with letter No. 3761, dated the 20th September 1907, from the same officer, furnishing additional information in the matter.

No. R. 5869-70—L. R. 427-92-93-24, DATED BANGALORE, 9TH FEBRUARY 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—The following are the facts of the case:—

Mr. Syed Nasiruddin purchased at a revenue sale on the 25th August 1888 the deserted hamlet of Kolimipalya, attached to the village of Kannayakana Agrahar, and two other deserted villages in the Anekal Taluk. During the Survey and Settlement of that taluk in the years 1890-92, he applied to the Survey Superintendent on the 23rd March 1891 to confirm them to him on a light assessment, in consideration of the heavy expenses he would have to incur in re-establishing the villages. He also prayed at the same time that the adjoining "kharab" lands, Survey Nos. 16 and 17 of Kannayakana Agrahar, may be given to him on the same terms.

x Extent-786 acres & 2 guntas.

2. Thereupon, the Survey Superintendent called for a report from the Deputy Superintendent, Colonel Rutherford, who was then conducting the settlement operations of the taluk. That officer strongly objected to any concessions being shown, saying that there were no special reasons why the lands should be given to Mr. Syed Nasiruddin at a reduced assessment, and that, as he had already acquired large tracts of unoccupied lands in the locality, the raiyats would be put to great difficulties for grazing, if these lands were also given to him. A further report was called for from the Deputy Superintendent by the Superintendent of Survey; and, on his citing certain precedents in which some concessions had been shown for reviving "becherac" villages, Mr. Nasiruddin was asked whether he was willing to take up all the lands applied for by him, at the uniform rate of three annas per acre. Mr. Nasiruddin having consented to it, the lands were granted to him on the 14th March 1892.

3. The raiyats of Kannayakana Agrahar on coming to know of this, addressed a petition to the Dewan on the 12th July 1892, protesting against the grant, on the ground that Survey Nos. 16 and 17 of Kannayakana Agrahar, set apart as free grazing lands for the village cattle at the Survey and Settlement, had also been given away. The petition was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for disposal and for communication of the order passed thereon to Government. But no final action was taken by the Deputy Commissioner in the matter, owing to the loss of records.

4. In the year 1903, in answer to reminders issued from Government, the Deputy Commissioner reported that endeavours were being made to trace the records. He was, therefore, directed to ascertain whether the petitioners still persisted in their complaints; and in 1906, he reported that all such complaints had ceased. As, however, it seemed to Government that the grant of such a large extent of land at an extremely low rate of assessment must have been a source of great loss to Government, a further report was called for as to how the lands were being utilized and what objection there was to levy a proper assessment on the lands.

5. Of the twenty-two persons who originally petitioned Government, only six are reported to be living; and of these, the whereabouts of only three could be ascertained. These are:— Shanbhog Narasingappa, Chikka Thimma and Toti Muniga. Of these, the shanbhog does not seem to have been affected much personally by the grant. The other two state that they had to abandon their lands in the village, as they were deprived of all access to even the small extent of grazing land available for their cattle by not being allowed to pass through Survey Nos. 16 and 17, granted to Mr. Nasiruddin. They express their willingness

to come and resettle themselves in the village, if Survey No. 16 is left free for grazing. But of this, there seems to be little likelihood.

6. From the above facts, it is clear, that the original grant has been made in utter disregard of the interests of Government and of the raiyats, and has apparently resulted in the complete desertion of an already declining village. At the time of applying for the lands, Mr. Nasiruddin was Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, and the grant of lands made to him is, therefore, liable to the objection that the previous sanction of Government therefor had not been obtained, as required by standing orders of Government. The Superintendent of Survey, moreover, had no powers to create a special rate of assessment for the lands and grant them on his own responsibility. And, though they were so granted by him, as a special case, with the object of promoting the cultivation of the lands, yet no such attempt has been made and the lands are now reported to have been merely turned into a source of considerable profit by letting out the grass thereon to the Commissariat Department.

7. Under these circumstances, Government consider that they would be justified in even cancelling the grant itself; but do not deem it necessary to adopt this extreme course at this distance of time. The lands, however, cannot be allowed to be held at the present rate of assessment. The Superintendent of Survey should take steps to have the lands assessed on the same principles as in the case of lands of a similar class in the neighbourhood. The lands will be confirmed to the present holders thereof only, on condition of their paying the assessment now properly fixed.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

Exd.—C. P. S.

1908-09

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LAND REVENUE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 9th February 1909

No. $\frac{\text{R. 5869-70}}{\text{L. R. 427-92-93-24}}$

Directing that the assessment fixed on Survey Nos. 16 and 17 of Kannayakana Agrahar, Anekal Taluk, granted to the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, Assistant Commissioner, on light assessment during the Survey and Settlement, be revised, and that the lands be confirmed to the representatives of Mr. Nasiruddin should they agree to pay the revised assessment.

GPB—1-8-09—250

No. R. 6435 — L. R. ~~429~~ of 92-93
of 19/2/1909 LRW

Endorsement granted to Khatun Bibi, wife of the late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin, Assistant Commissioner, in the matter of the appeal made by Nanja and other ryots of Kannayakana Agrahar, Anekal taluk, against the order of the Survey Superintendent granting to the said Mr. Nasiruddin on light assessment Survey Nos 16+17, reserved as gomal for the village.

Khatun Bibi is informed that Government have, after perusing the records of the case and the written argument presented by her Advocate Mr. B. Ramanna, come to the conclusion that the grant has been made in utter disregard of the interests of the Government & of the ryots and ~~has resulted in the complete desertion of the village. It is liable~~

that the land cannot be allowed to be held at the low assessment on which they ~~have~~ were granted without proper authority by the S Dept to the objection that the previous sanction of Govt. therefor was not obtained, as required by standing orders of Government. Further, the Superintendt. of Survey had no powers to grant them at a special rate of assessment on his own responsibility; and though so granted as a special case, with the object of promoting the cultivation of the lands, yet no such attempt seems to have been made, as the grazing on the lands are ~~not~~ reported to have been merely let out to the Commissariat Department at considerable profit. Under these circumstances Govt. would be justified in cancelling the grant itself; but do not deem it necessary to adopt this extreme course at this distance of time. As, however, the lands cannot be allowed any longer to the [illegible] Syed Hossainuddin Sab – the Supdt. of Survey has been directed to fix a proper assessment thereon & confirm them to the person now entitled thereto on payment of such assessment.

R.
12/2/61

Copied J.P.R. 15/2.

to be held at the present low rate of assessment, the Superintendent of Survey has been directed to have the lands assessed on the same principles as are lands of a similar class in the neighbourhood. They will be confirmed to Khateja Bibi only on condition of her paying the assessment to be properly fixed hereafter.

By order

For Secretary